Volume 36A, number 2

Table 1

The quantity P_1 - P_2 is the difference in calculated pressure at various temperatures, where

$P_1 = 2.249 [(T/T_0)^{1.528} - 1]$

and

 $P_2 = 2.2293[(T/T_0)^{1.5351} - 1].$

T	$P_1 - P_2$		
(⁰ K)	(bar)		
100		2.5	
125		5.0	
150		5.9	
175		5.1	
200		2.7	
225		-1.4	
250		-7.0	
275		-14.4	
300		-23.5	
325		-34.1	
350		-46.3	
375		-60.2	
400		-75.6	
425		-92.7	
450	-	-111.3	
475		-131.4	
500		-153.1	
525		-176.3	
550		-201.1	
575		-227.3	

Since Hardy, Crawford and Daniels' work has shown that the melting curve of mercury and argon cannot *both* be represented by a Simon melting equation, and since neither their fit nor the present one fits the data when extrapolated to

PHYSICS LETTERS

the 20-26 kilobar region (pending more and better data at these pressures), serious doubt has been cast upon the accuracy of the Simon equation's description of argon melting phenomena. Additionally, this raises some question as to the use of a Simon equation fitted to mercury melting data as a secondary pressure standard, a practice which has never been theoretically justified [10].

My thanks are extended to Dr. P. Bolsaitis and Dr. I. L. Spain for their advice; to the Center for Materials Research, University of Maryland, for a research stipend; to the University of Maryland Computer Science Center for the use of their facilities.

References

- R.K. Crawford and W.B. Daniels, J. Chem. Phys. 50 (1969) 3171.
- [2] J.D. Grace and G. C. Kennedy, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 28 (1967) 977.
- [3] W. H. Hardy, R. K. Crawford and W. B. Daniels, J. Chem. Phys. 54 (1971) 1005.
- [4] A. van Itterbeek, O. Verbeke and K. Staes, Physica 29 (1963) 742.
- [5] P.H. Lahr and W.G. Eversole, J. Chem. Eng. Data 7 (1962) 42.
- [6] A. Michels, J. M. Levelt and W. deGraaf, Physica 24 (1958) 659.
- [7] A. Michels and C. Prins, Physica 28 (1962) 101.
- [8] D.W. Robinson, Proc. Roy. Soc. A225 (1954) 393.
- [9] W. van Witzenburg and J. C. Stryland, Can. J. Phys. 46 (1968) 811.
- [10] E. C. Lloyd, C. W. Bockett and F. R. Boyd, Science 164 (1969) 860.

Phili

An ir by CO₂of the 0.

The role (is of interest lower laser) faster by add this letter m of the lower tures are re relaxation ra laser power A d.c. ope circuited wit the laser tut volt. The m a few µsec f

the gas part

nearly the g used here no level occurs prevents the [4]. The upp cited direct. relaxation 0 and $H_2O[3]$ can be negle through stir relaxation C rate equatic it can be sh cumstances nential and ation time (The rela been measu for mixture and 1-8.5 ti

> laser tube | length and | quartz tube vapour from

volume 36A, n

VIBRAT